

CANADA

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/677,292 10/03/2003 Richard S. Norman 86177-79 9645 11/05/2004 EXAMINER **SMART & BIGGAR** JONES, MELVIN **Suite 3400** 1000 De la Gauchetiere Street West ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Montreal, QC H3B 4W5 3744

DATE MAILED: 11/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Α | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/677,292 | N | NORMAN, RICHARD S. | | |
| | | Examiner | Α | rt Unit | | |
| | | Melvin Jones | | 744 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)🖾 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| - | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)\ | 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)□ | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20-22 and 26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| • | ,— , , | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | _ | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11012004. | . Pε 5) ∏ No | terview Summary (PT aper No(s)/Mail Date. otice of Informal Pate her: | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/677,292

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 6 it's not clear where the cooling requirements are being assessed.

Applicant states in line 6, "the cooling requirement assessed at a". This claim appears incomplete.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,18,20-22 & 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cray et al (US Patent No. 5,131,233). Cray discloses a gas-liquid forced turbulence cooling comprising: a plurality of separate individual computing sections each of which is primarily contained within its own separate housing (23) and whereby each housing is dividied into an upper logic module chamber (24) and a lower power supply chamber (26) and contains electronic computing integrated circuit (IC) components. Cray further discloses a cooling system (20) having plurality of individual spray nozzles (32) for cooling the logic module chambers by distributing cooling liquid – moreover the pressure differential across the nozzles are regulated and establishes a

Art Unit: 3744

desired flow rate of cooling gas and the flow sprayed cooling liquid through and over the logic modules (28).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19,23,24 & 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3-17 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Jones whose telephone number is (703) 305-0251. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3744

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mj

MELVIN JONES PRIMARY保XAMINER